

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,202	08/18/2003	Kathryn W. Hatlestad	14458.01	4063
75	90 03/24/2005		EXAMINER	
David N. Fronek DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Intellectual Property Department 50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500			FERNSTROM, KURT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
Minneapolis, M	MN 55402-1498		DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				- Me			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/643,202	HATLESTAD ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kurt Fernstrom	3712				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	••			
THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION COMMU	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ren. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  (HS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	eation.			
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Q	02 September 2004.					
		This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,9 and 12-20</u> is/are pending	in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner.					
10)	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	·			
Attachmen	it(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/2/04 &amp; 9/13/04</u> .		l/Mail Date  formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 8, 9 and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by ASE Free Fall Simulators, provided by applicant as Exhibits A and B in the declaration of Don Kamis filed on September 2, 2004. Notwithstanding the statements made in the declaration, the brochures are printed publications, published more than one year before the effective filing date, and anticipate the invention. The brochures describe each of the limitations of the claims, such that one of ordinary skill in the relevant art would be able to create and use the invention.

Contrary to the assertions made in paragraph 5 of the declaration, the brochures describe throughout their pages completed conceptions of free fall simulators, rather than expressing that the contents described therein were artist's renditions of possible simulators, which ASE believed it could build. For example, in Exhibit A, at the bottom of page 2, paragraph 3.1 states that:

ASE has conducted an extensive evaluation of the various aspects of free fall simulation. These studies have resulted in the most advanced designs for free fall simulators anywhere in the world. The simulation quality of an ASE Free Fall Simulator is as close to actual free flight conditions as possible due to unique designs and considerations...

Application/Control Number: 10/643,202

Art Unit: 3712

ASE Free Fall Simulators **faithfully reproduce** free flight conditions that are simply not available from any other supplier.

Emphasis added. Whatever the intentions of the publishers of the brochures may have been, one of ordinary skill in the art upon reading the brochures would understand the free fall simulators described therein to have been designed, and available, and would be able to produce the invention as claimed based on the publication.

The statements in the declaration pertaining to the dissemination of the brochures also fail to overcome the determination that they are printed publication.

Mass mailings and distributions at trade shows and such are not required for such documents to be printed publications. Rather, the test for determining whether an item is a printed publication is whether the item is accessible to the public. See In re Klopfenstein, 72 USPQ2d 1117 (CAFC 2004), In re Hall, 228 USPQ 453 (CAFC 1986) and In re Bayer, 196 USPQ 670 (CCPA 1978). In this case, it is clear that this test has been met. By declarant's admission, the brochures were mailed out to members of the public in response to numerous requests. No steps appear to have been taken to preserve the secrecy of the contents therein, or to restrict dissemination of the brochures in any way. Even mailings to twelve, or thirty, members of the public are sufficient to make the brochures printed publications.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hughes.

Hughes discloses in Figure 1 and in the specification a free fall simulator comprising a flight chamber 4, a fan 12 positioned below the flight chamber, and a housing which

Application/Control Number: 10/643,202

Art Unit: 3712

substantially encloses the housing and which has a plurality of air intake openings 18 in the housing (nest shown in Figure 2). While it is not clear what structure is encompassed by the term "noise attenuation housing", any housing will inherently operated to attenuate noise to at least some degree.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 6, 8, 9, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hughes in view of Kitchen (US 5,655,909). Hughes discloses all of the limitations of the claims with the exception of the canopy and the plurality of fans. Kitchen discloses in Figure 1 and in the specification a free fall simulator comprising a canopy 2, which extends radially about the flight chamber 4, and a plurality of fans 3, each fan located below the flight chamber and having a noise attenuation housing and air intake openings. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art to modify the device disclosed by Hughes by providing a canopy having a plurality of fans for the purpose of allowing the user to drive additional air through the flight chamber. With respect to claims 8 and 9 Figure 1 of Kitchen shows an upper wall portion above the fans and air intake ducts, extending around the canopy, to which noise attenuation housings are positioned.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Because new grounds of rejection are presented herein, this Action is made non-final.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Fernstrom whose telephone number is (571) 272-4422. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KURT FERNSTROM PRIMARY EXAMINER

**KF** 

November 18, 2004